

CLARIFICATIONS

RFP N.º: 12 GPENAPOR 2025

CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR THE PREPARATORY STUDIES FOR MODERNIZATION AND EXTENSION OF PORTO GRANDE AND PORTO NOVO

1. QUESTION Nr 1:

Referring to page 47 of Section 2 – Instructions to Consultants (ITC), the checklist for Technical Capacity Requirements states that, for a JVCA, the “Lead Partner” must meet at least one contract in each lot under B. General Experience.

Our understanding is that the Lead Partner serves as the primary entity responsible for managing and coordinating the overall project at the JV level.

Given the high technical specialization of each lot, it seems improbable that a single company could simultaneously meet the requirement of having a contract in every lot.

Therefore, we would appreciate your clarification on the following:

- How do you define a “Lead Partner” in this context? Is it solely the primary coordinator at the JV level?
- Is the expectation that the Lead Partner individually meets the requirement of having at least one contract per lot, or is it sufficient for the Lead Partner to demonstrate overall experience at the JV level (as per A. General Experience)?
- Considering the technical complexity and specialization of each lot, would it be acceptable to designate a “Technical Lead Partner” for each lot, which must meet the specific experience requirements for that lot?

[Response question Nr 1: Attached is the updated table.](#)

Preliminary Requirements Number	Single Entity	JVCA		
		All Partners Combined	Each Partner	Lead Partner
A. General Experience	Must meet requirement	Must meet requirement	Not required	Must meet requirement
B. General Experience				
Lot 1	Must meet requirement	Must meet requirement	Not required	a) Must meet one contract from each Lot (as a consultant/designer*); or b) Must meet at least two contracts from one of the Lots (as a provider of the specific services required) and include a technical coordination engineer for all Lots with the experience required in a)
Lot 2	Must meet requirement	Must meet requirement	Not required	
Lot 3	Must meet requirement	Must meet requirement	Not required	
Lot 4	Must meet requirement	Must meet requirement	Not required	

2. QUESTION Nr 2:

With regard to survey work part of this Lot. ABL group are consultants not contractors. However, we could provide full survey management. ABL would manage the survey tenders/procurement and the survey works, review/sign off the contractor survey results in the form of owner's engineer. We can then undertake the data integration requirements as per the scope. The client would be required to contract the survey contractor separately. Please confirm if this would be desirable or if full turnkey solution required?

Response question Nr 2: A full turnkey solution is required for each Lot.

3. QUESTION Nr 3:

In the "REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS" document, annexes are mentioned (EGL_PG_... or EGL_PN_01.. for example) but are not included.

Could you please provide them?

Response question Nr 3: The drawings can be viewed via the following link.

<https://we.tl/t-0NhkzXYxsd>

4. QUESTION Nr 4:

Deverão ser preparadas propostas técnica e financeira em separado para cada lote (Lote 3 e Lote 4), ou poderão ser apresentadas em conjunto?

Response question Nr 4: Must be submitted separately.

5. QUESTION Nr 5:

No momento da submissão por e-mail, as propostas deverão ser enviadas em mensagens distintas (uma para o Lote 3 e outra para o Lote 4), ou deverá ser feito um único envio conjunto?

Response question Nr 5: A single submission may be made, but proposals must be clearly identified.

6. QUESTION Nr 6:

Ref. Section 2-ITC (page 49) and Section 7-ToR (page 204): Could you confirm that Senior Non-Key experts are required for all the Lots ?

Response question Nr 6: Non-Key Experts shall not be evaluated. However, the successful Consultant shall be required to submit the CV's of all None Key Experts to the Client for approval, prior to appointment of the None Key Experts. This is valid for all Lots.

7. QUESTION Nr 7:

Ref. Section 2-ITC: Could you confirm that if the Candidate is appointed as the preferred Consultant to one of these Lots, this appointment will not prevent to participate to further bidding process on both port development projects in question.

Response question Nr 7: Yes, it is possible for a candidate selected for this preparatory work for either lot to participate in future tendering processes for both port development projects. However, they are not permitted to work for the candidate contractors or selected contractor.

8. QUESTION Nr 8:

Ref. Section 7-ToR – Lot 3 - §3.1.2.1 (page 174): could you indicate who is the Supplier of the SHED block?

Response question Nr 8: This will be applied for Porto Gande design. The SHED blocks are placed in one layer and were studied by N. W. H. Allsop in HR Wallingford (UK). The Laboratory may consider and justify another highly dissipative one-layer block with similar or better hydraulic characteristics and whose construction costs are also somehow similar. The extreme 100 year wave in front of the main breakwater (not the offshore generated one) has a significant height below 3m.

9. QUESTION Nr 9:

Ref. Section 7-ToR – Lot 3 - §3.1.2.3 (page 175): could you confirm that the tests must be repeated twice?

Response question Nr 9: Yes, unless the Laboratory can technically demonstrate that there is no relevant variability (on the results to obtain) between equal tests (we remind that spectral waves and wave groups must be reproduced). In the last case, there shall be at least a repetition for the highest two waves.

10. QUESTION Nr 10:

Ref. Section 7-ToR –§4-Experts required (page 203): could you confirm that the following requirement ("it is required that the site supervision personnel be present on site at all times while the works are in progress") concerns only LOT1 and LOT 2.

Response question Nr 10: For Lot 1, the supervision personnel must be present on site during key moments of the works, which the proposal must clearly specify (at least two key moments). For Lot 2, the supervision personnel must be present on site during the works progress.

11. QUESTION Nr 11:

Ref. Section 7-ToR –§4-Experts required (page 204): could you clarify the following requirement : "expert shall be registered member of a recognized professional body...Registration with the national engineering body in Cabo Verde will be required after contract signature, if applicable...". This does not appear adequate with the consultancy services requested. mandatory?

Response question Nr 11: Registration of any expert on a recognized professional body is required. In the case of national (Cabo Verde) experts, the professional body will be a national body.

12. QUESTION Nr 12:

Ref. Section 8 - Contract Forms: Could you confirm the time-based contract for all the Lots? Consultancy services such as those requested in Lots 3 & 4 involve (physical/numerical) model construction which are usually remunerated as a fixed price and the total price may vary according to the number of runs/configurations to be tested. Please clarify.

Response question Nr 12: A Lump Sum will be proposed, but also discriminating the price for construction of the model, the one associated with the running of the model and the one for the analysis of the results and reports production. The Laboratory/consultant shall propose the minimum time needed to complete the overall task, according to the specifications, discriminating the time for construction of the model, for running of the model and for the analysis of the results and reports production.

13. QUESTION Nr 13:

The proposal we intend to submit is exempt from VAT in Portugal due to our tax law. However, we would like to know if you apply any withholding tax on payments in Cape Verde. This question is related to your FORM FIN-2 - COST SUMMARY. Do we have to mention the tax value in the proposal or, can it be indicated after, in the phase of negotiation, if our contract is awarded?

Response question No. 13: Cape Verde and Portugal have an agreement to avoid double taxation, whereby service providers with tax residence in Portugal are exempt from IRPS (corporate income tax), provided they can prove their tax residence (tax residence certificate) in each financial year

ENAPOR

Mindelo, São Vicente, Cabo Verde

04 de setembro de 2025